

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 GENEVA 04281 101754Z

61

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

AID-20 EB-11 SCI-06 NSF-04 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 L-03

COME-00 TRSE-00 OMB-01 NSC-10 SS-15 OIC-04 RSR-01

/173 W

----- 057361

R 101538Z AUG 73

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1113

INFO USMISSION USUN NY

UNCLAS GENEVA 4281

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UN, ECOSOC

SUBJ: 55TH ECOSOC: ITEM 4-REVIEW AND APPRAISAL

1. IN SURPRISE MOVE AT PLANNED FINAL MEETING ECON COMMITTEE, BRAZIL AND FOUR G-77 CO-SPONSORS INTRODUCED BRIEF RESOLUTION STATING THAT COUNCIL UNABLE EXAMINE RECOMMENDATION OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE RE TARGETS AND RECOMMENDING THAT GENERAL ASSEMBLY CONSIDER S&T RECOMMENDATION RE TARGETS IN CONJUNCTION WITH REVIEW AND APPRAISAL REPORT.

2. TACTIC INTRODUCTION SUCH ITEM AFTER APPROVAL OF WORKING PAPER DEPLORED IN STRONG TERMS BY MANY DELEGATIONS INCLUDING PARTICULARLY U.S. WHICH POINTED OUT THAT WORKING GROUP HAD IN EFFECT EXAMINED THIS ISSUE AT LENGTH IN COURSE OF THIS MEETING. THIS FACT WELL KNOWN TO AT LEAST SOME SPONSORS. ALSO FINDING THAT RECOMMENDATION TO GENERAL ASSEMBLY TOTALLY UNNECESSARY SINCE ANY DELEGATION FREE TO REOPEN ANY ISSUE IN ANY PARA OF THE REPORT. FRANCE, UK, ITALY, CANADA AND US ALL STRONGLY CONDEMNED THE PROCEDURE AND FOUND RESOLUTION UNNECESSARY AND WERE PREPARED TO VOTE ON ISSUE. FACED WITH THIS OPPOSITION CO-SPONSORS DELETED REFERENCE TO INABILITY ECOSOC TO HANDLE TARGET ISSUE AND CONVERTED RESOLUTION TO COUNCIL DECISION.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 GENEVA 04281 101754Z

MATTER WAS PUT TO VOTE AT MIDNIGHT BUT HELD UP ON POINT OF

ORDER WITH DISCOVERY THAT NO QUORUM PRESENT. AT THAT TIME OUTCOME MUCH IN DOUBT WITH FAIRLY EQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF THOSE IN FAVOR, AGAINST AND ABSTAINING.

3. AT AM SESSION 10 AUGUST VOTE WAS TAKEN 27 IN FAVOR OF DECISION, 8 IN OPPOSITION AND 2 ABSTENTIONS. EXPLANATIONS OF VOTE INCLUDED THOSE OF JAPAN, DENMARK, NEW ZEALAND AND NETHERLANDS WHO VOTED IN FAVOR BUT MADE CLEAR THAT THIS WAS ON PROCEDURAL BASIS ONLY AND THAT ACTION IN NO WAY INDICATED POSITION THEIR RESPECTIVE GOVERNMENTS WHICH WAS TO OPPOSE QUANTITATIVE TARGETS FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. THEY AND OTHER SPEAKERS WHO HAD OPPOSED THE DECISION DEPLORED LATE INTRODUCTION OF THE PROPOSAL AND EFFECT ON SPIRIT OF CONSENSUS AS GUIDELINE FOR REVIEW AND APPRAISAL. IN POINTED COMMENT UK REP SAID CO-SPONSORS WOULD BE WELL ADVISED TO NOTE WELL THE COMMENTS OF MANY INCLUDING THOSE SUPPORTING THE PROPOSED DECISION WHO DEPLORED THE TACTIC EMPLOYED.

4. COMMENT: BELIEVE FORCING THE PROPOSAL TO DISCUSSION AND VOTE WAS GOOD LONG RUN TACTIC IN SERVING NOTICE SOME G-77 DELS THAT SUCH TACTICS WOULD BE CHALLENGED, IN GAINING STATEMENTS FOR RECORD THAT DECISION HAD "PROCEDURAL" INTENT ONLY, AND RE-FFIRMING FAIRLY SOLID VIEWS WESTERN DONORS RE CONTINUED OPPOSITION TO TARGETS.

BASSIN

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 AUG 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973GENEVA04281  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730846/aaaabhnptel  
**Line Count:** 87  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** boyleja  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 20 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20-Aug-2001 by reddocgw>; APPROVED <05 MAR 2002 by boyleja>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** 55TH ECOSOC: ITEM 4-REVIEW AND APPRAISAL  
**TAGS:** EGEN, UN, ECOSOC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005